



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,953	02/14/2004	Pedro Freitas	MACV.P0008	9187
23349 7590 08/29/2008				
Stattler-Suh PC				
60 SOUTH MARKET				
SUITE 480				
SAN JOSE, CA 95113				
EXAMINER				
VO, TED T				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2191				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/29/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/779,953		Applicant(s) FREITAS ET AL.	
	Examiner TED T. VO		Art Unit 2191	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) TED T. VO. (3) _____
 (2) Applicants' representative, G Suh, reg. No. 48,187. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3,4,7,21,22 and 27-31.

Identification of prior art discussed: Haas and Dimitrova.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative requested for an interview to discuss the claimed subject matter as being indefinite under 35 USC 112 second paragraph. The representative also discussed the Haas and Dimitrova. The representative noted that he will file new amendment to address the indefiniteness and to address the patentability of the claims over Haas and Dimitrova.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ted T. Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2191	
--	--